



## BACK TO THE BARRICADES

The college campus has become an important battlefield in our struggle against the System. It is the chief source from which the System gets the manpower that it needs in order to function. Once this source is cut off, the System is in serious trouble. Thus, it should be our task to struggle against the use of the campus in such a manner, since this is the most vulnerable and one of the most important parts of the System which we hope to overturn.

It is with this in mind that we must once again launch our attack upon the System's presence on campus. We must certainly attack ROTC and defense contracts, the most obvious and at the same time most vulnerable part of the System's presence on campus. But these should not be our primary targets; we must go further.

It should be our goal to transfer the college campus from a mechanism which turns out robots for the System into one which helps people free themselves from the shit which the System has crammed down their throats all of their lives. Thus, it would become a center for anti-System activity by these newly-freed people.

Before this can ever happen however, huge changes will have to be made. The most important one, as stated above, is the redirecting of the goal of the colleges and universities. These institutions must stop gearing their curricula in order to produce "people" that Wall Street or the endless number of graduate schools deem as "useful". Instead they must concern themselves with seeking the truth, and this cannot be done as long as one side, representing one set of opinions and prejudices, plays an active role in the running of these supposed "centers of truth".

In sum, the System has as its goal self-preservation, at any cost! Colleges and universities must have truth as their objective. They obviously are not comparable--one must capitulate. Up until the present, colleges and universities have done the capitulating, being only tools of the System. It should be our task to reverse these roles, and **MAKE THE SYSTEM CAPITULATE--to the truth.**

Ken Brown

# THEY SHOOT STUDENTS, DON'T THEY?

# PEACE NOW!

All kidding aside, the Battle of Disneyland reveals some sobering facts on how not to build a movement. The Yippies always complain about the harass- ment their culture receives and perhaps a good defense case could be made of what a better place to strike at than Disneyland. But in the Establishment, and their actions as nothing more than a counter-attack on the Establishment, who got hurt? Certainly not the spectators; they were treated to an exciting show, and got free passes to boot! The taunting that the Yippies heaped on the crowd certainly didn't make them sympathetic to the movement; in fact, it pro- bably entrenched them further hardened their hearts. The kids - criminates breaking off the antennas was stupid, resemblance the actions the pigs employ against blacks in riots and peace demonstrators. Disneyland will continue to rake in the bread while those Yippies rot in jail.

In conclusion, it seems the movement has abandoned organizing and is split- ting in two directions: the bombers, who will only bring on more repression (we're not big enough to engage in a war with the Pentagon) and the Yippies and others, who are retreating into a fantasy world completely dominated by drugs and to the left me say: "Have a good trip."

## GENOCIDE: THE PIGS' NEW PROGRAM

BY W.B. CONGER

Mastery of Life and Death is the Holy State's newest plan in its quest toward mankind's enslavement. Washington bureaucrats, notably from the liberal wing, have become reasonably alarmed by the dangerous increases in population but believe that only through governmental authorization of all births can world disaster in the form of overcrowding be avoided.

In short, the state's answer to the population crisis is mass genocide against this country's racial minorities and poor. It is the oppressed who will perish in this statist project.

Dr. Edgar Chasteen, a Missouri sociologist, is one of the government's authorities on the problem and its "solution". He feels that all American parents should be entitled to a maximum of two children. Any couple who willfully violates this law, Chasteen adds, will be subject to heavy fines or other punishment (government forced and inflicted abortions and vasectomies?).

Even more extreme proposals have come from Stanford biology professor Dr. Paul Ehrlich, author of The Population Bomb, and Dr. Richard Schreiber, a University of New Hampshire botanist. Introduction of sterilizing drugs into community water systems is Ehrlich's scheme an antedote would be placed under the tight control of Big Brother, thereby putting the Feds into a position with which it could use blackmail against the individual. Schreiber's plan is to develop an air-borne virus, provided he is supplied with \$5 million from potential victims, which would sterilize women when they breathe, besides adding additional pollution to our air.

Naturally, this birth control will all be compulsory. The U.S. individual, the totalists believe, is too ignorant to solve the population problem singly. They feel that it is the state's duty to "save the American people from themselves" through force and Regulation.

Rather than attempt the formation of an educational program designed to outline the serious need for population reduction and the benefits of a small family, the state would prefer to use genocidal measures against the poor minorities. The collectivists realize that when you control life and death, you can use the individual for cannon-fodder.

To submit to this bureaucratic infringement is lunacy. We must resist now with the cry "NOT WITH MY CHILD'S LIFE YOU DON'T!"

\*\*\*\*\*

## "FREE MICKEY MOUSE!"

BY JOHN BROTSCHOL



On August 6, around 300 young people declaring themselves "Yippies" converged on, of all places, Disneyland to liberate Mickey Mouse. At around noon, these revolutionaries began to assemble around Sleeping Beauty's Castle and according to Rolling Stone Magazine, Sept 17 followed the Disneyland Band up Main Street, yelling slogans for Ho Chi Minh (he must have smiled in his grave) and Charles Manson. The pigs then rolled in and disperse the crowd but our heroes re-grouped and seized Tom Sawyer Island- VIVA LA REVOLUCION! They then declar a free state and ran up the Viet Cong flag (black would have been so much better but you can have everything). The Yippies now exhausted from their heroic struggle for the strategic island rested and got their free state off to a great start by a smoke-in of that illegal weed. For hours, our gallant crusaders had control of the island and now, sky high on their dope, they marched on Disneyland's City Hall (who says grass detracts from the revolution?) This attempt was aborted by the pigs and fights broke out as the Yippies tried another march down Main Street, flustered by defeat our street fighters blew their cool and shouted "fuck you's" at the spectators and for this they must be reprimanded for they alienated the masses (chuckle, chuckle).

Finally, the park was evacuated at 7p.m., six hours early and the 30,000 visitors were given free passes for admission on another day- the tide had been reversed and the Yippies with the scent of victory in their nostrils began to celebrate-they closed Disneyland, the obvious symbol of a decadent capitalist society, WOW! The fatter and dirtier pigs called riot police now moved in and began swinging for the fences, 18 good guys were captured, two for possession of marajuana and one for "assault with a deadly weapon", naughty, naughty. As the Yippies fled what had become a real bummer, they advanced the revolution by breaking radio antennae off parked cars-- oooooooooooooohhhhhh those property rights.

Prior to the invasion, Disneyland located in the middle of California's super right wing Orange County (they just elected a bircher to congress) had relaxed a long standing ban on people with long hair. As a result of the Battle of Disneyland, this ban has been re-established but the movement marches on, next target Palisades Park where we'll liberate the

# Mickey Mouse

LICENSED TO UNZ.ORG  
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Con't. p1

THE ABOLITIONIST is published monthly by members of the radical libertarian alliance: John Brotschol, Ken Brown, Ralph Fucetola, Bill Baumgarth, Steve Halbrook and Geoffrey Hall. Subscriptions are \$2 per yr c/o LABS gpo 2487 new york city 10001. Member of the Libertarian Press Association.

ters and brothers continue to plan for and attend regional "conferences". To what end? To building a grass roots libertarianism? NO: to hear one so-called theoritician (apologies to true theorists like Roy Childs) after another go on their little ego-trips. Sure, I also love to hog a microphone and preach my gospel. But look, haven't we had enough of "The Philosophy of Robert Heinlein's Style" or "Sell Liberty for Fun and Profit"? I think I'll stay home in the future and wait until these "theorists" come out in paperback. I always enjoy and profit more from books anyway. But what about a conference geared to train grass-roots organizers--libertarian cadre trained to organize, to move, to build a base? BASE BUILDING IS ESSENTIAL. This is not some sort of game we're playing. The stakes are high: the destruction of statepower; a change we'll all end up in jail or murdered. Where is the inspiration for a movement to come from if not from below? Yet high school organizing, middle-class and working-class contacts are ignored. Relating to the Youth Culture is important (& enjoyable)--but more important is an attempt to reach the sections of the public where political power potentially lies: the new and old working classes. I am willing to abandon a life style (long hair, drugs etc.) if it will get my foot into the door of this important sector.

LENIN'S RELIANCE UPON CADRE MUST BE A GUIDE TO OUR THINKING. Scholarly libertarians should meet and form affinity groups to prepare theoretical refinements and tactical maneuvers. Libertarian activists should start getting down to the people. Parliamentary politics always offers more than it fulfills--and therefore co-opts. As Lenin pointed out we must use legislatures as platforms from which to attack the government. This would supplement our efforts at grass roots liberation of the people from their dependency on the State. The political party emerges as the highest embodiment of political struggle. Thus the affinity groups of scholars and the activist cadre relate to the use of the legislature as a sounding-board through the mechanism of a libertarian political party. Our party will not be reformist: it will aim at exposing the State, and at mobilizing the people away from the State toward liberty. It will be the propaganda arm of our movement.

Supplement these old left notions on the need for cadre and the party with some New Left tactical considerations. TACTICS MUST BE RELEVANT NOT ONLY TO A REAL NEED OF THE BASE TO WHICH ONE APPEALS, BUT ALSO TO THE ACTIVIST. Secondly, don't force yourself on any one or any group in the act of organizing. The activist acts as a catalyst to start things going, but the actual organization arises from within the base. Then we must avoid SDS's mistake vis-a-vis high school students. The latter resented their open manipulations. Thirdly we must not rush the work of organizing. When an organization is needed, then and only then should it arise. Thus, I am rebuked by some New Leftists at Harvard for urging them to join a national organization. They held, correctly, that local group organizing is necessary before a national organization can be anything but a name--an empty shell. If PLA builds up from the bottom, it may become more of a real organization than SIL. Take the inspiration from some of our vanguard West Coast comrades and use existing associations such as the ACLU, SMC or PAC as a spring board for organizing and for autonomous action.

FINALLY, BE SERIOUS, NOT BY LOOSING YOUR SENSE OF HUMOR, BUT BY KNOWING THAT YOUR LIFE & LIBERTY DEPENDS UPON YOUR LIBERTARIAN EFFICACIOUSNESS. As the Amerikan Empire starts to fall apart, you will need that efficaciousness. WE ARE REVOLUTIONARIES! We will not stand for reform, but for total change, using all moral means at our disposal. How can it be to anyone's "self-interest" NOT to struggle for liberty? In short, we want to be a vanguard force, i.e., one whose leading role is ensured by our example. This entails a commitment to scholarship, to organization, to activism, and YES, to discipline--the type that comes from within, but will be reflected without an organization.

## TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS II

Bill Baumgarth

Steve Halbrook's fresh inspiration on scientific vs. classical anarchism certainly deserves an addendum. WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF LENINIST METHODS TO THE LIBERTARIAN MOVEMENT'S PRESENT POSITION? Let us engage in some comradely criticism. Many of our sis-



For free market anarchists intent on unmasking the bourgeois State, there is no better methodology to follow than that Bastiat formulated in his last pamphlet: "What is Seen and What is Not Seen". Yet when has this method been really applied by libertarians?

Take the question of unions. The typical Misesian approach is to view only What Is Seen: labor unions only cause trouble, they use coercion against management, they are behind minimum wage legislation, migration barriers, etc., and they don't realize that they should stay in their place, i.e., work hard and respect the private property of the capitalists.

What Is Not Seen? Basically, that anywhere a State exists one is wealthy to the extent he benefits by State coercion. Thus, long ago a small ruling elite, by massive State violence, gained a monopoly over the means of production, which created masses of wage slaves ("slaves" not because they worked for wages per se but because the big capitalists stole the wealth of theirs and their fathers and then said: Work or Starve). While a pseudo "free" market has existed in certain eras since then, it was only a farce--to exist any economy must use market methods, but the economy is not thereby free if the market exists only in the context of relations of stolen property.

It is furthermore incomprehensible that we have been so off base as to believe the corporate liberal lies which claim that the State is "pro-labor". Thus, What Is Seen is minimum wages; What Is Not Seen is that the big bourgeoisie passes such laws to suppress competition from marginal (petty) capitalists; to create unemployment so as to enforce authoritarian work place discipline, break proletarian unity, etc.; and to push the Ricardo effect, which means more wealth for big manufacturers of producer's goods and unemployment for workers due to transformation from labor intensity to capital intensity.

In short What Is Not Seen is that the State sabotages the economy to enrich the ruling class--it is anything but "pro-labor". As everyone from Old Rightists like Albert Jay Nock to New Leftists such as Ron Radosh has pointed out, reformist unions were created by the State to keep the working class in a bootlicking position to big business. Hence free market anarchists must not object to unions because they allegedly use a little coercion here and there; we must object to them because they are not willing to use enough "coercion", i.e., they refuse to make revolution and seize the means of production, which the masses would have owned in the first place had a free market existed all along. Libertarians must quit respecting the stolen property of the big bourgeoisie, and for once respect the private property of the working class, which the State has been stealing for centuries.

\*\*\*\*\*

KONKIN CONTINUED FROM PAGE 6— *BANG!!*

rather than even verify the authenticity of his article before a Grand Jury, let alone "compromise his source of information" as he claimed.

The RIAA-symps at the University of Wisconsin had, as usual, the radical dissenting view. "No, our erstwhile comrades in YAF & SIL, there was no "property" destroyed", we said. "And no, Weatherman, we do not rejoice at your supposed service to your pet pigs— Castro, Dong, et al. If a true, Free, "People's Court" existed, you would be fortunate to escape with conviction on charges of criminal negligence, and be justly spending your lives reimbursing the wife and children of your "casualty".

For an absolute right to life has been violated. There is only one objective result of your act. Robert Fassnacht is dead. And if there were real justice to be obtained, Governor Knowles & Senator Proxmire, you would be sued for your gratuitous smear of attributing this act to "Anarchists and Anarchism". Your sudden respect for life is obscene in its hypocrisy. We anarchists here in Madison, it seems, are the only ones left who have consistently strived for life's continuance & fulfillment; & we shall continue to

errratiarism: it came with his union article below  
(the above is Steve's reply to various letters refuting his article on Leninist Lib-

struggle against its enemies: the guns of the State, and the bombs of the would-be STATE. THE END

Ralphs: Thanks so much for sending me all the "documents" involved in the right wing attacks on my last article. May SIL and other running dogs of Fascist America train their wrath upon the inclosed piece of "communist" propaganda too!

subscribe to THE ABOLITIONIST...after all, its only money!

LETTERS, WE GET STACKS AND STACKS OF LETTERS:

People of the Abolitionist,

When I was a conservative, I remember how members of the Nazi Party would try to call themselves conservative because it was a popular name to hide behind.

Now that I am working for liberty I find commie FUCK HEADS like some of your writers attempting to hide behind the ever more popular term: libertarian. When my friend Ralph Fucetola was on the right wing, he was always with the worst blood-sucking rightists around.\* The only difference I find in his present company is the labels.

Your answer to the misquote and points raised by Kathy Greene (JULY, #5) were very shallow, to say the least. Do you think everytime you act like assholes you can just give some bullshit cliches about how bad the state or YAF is and that excuses your dishonesty or your violence. Listen John Brotschol, if you want to live in a commune go ahead. All I hear the Abolitionist talk about is "war on the state". I am opposed to war; I am opposed to the state because it represents institutionalized coercion. If you feel you're going to go out and kill all the killers, I suggest you turn around and look in the mirror to see whose hands have the most blood dripping from them.

Your last issue was the biggest hunk of anti-freedom (but also anti-state) shit I have ever seen. Steve Halbrook's brilliant examination of Lenin exemplified the intellectual level of the entire issue. I suggest you memo his next half of the article on toilet paper because that's the only place you'll find anything equal to his analysis of Lenin's libertarian tendencies. I suggest instead of forming Leninist libertarians, Steve get together people from PLP, KKK, American Nazi Party, the Weathermen, CPUSA and any other group that has so beautifully demonstrated his kind of love for liberty and then form a group called the anarcho-pigs, as Frank Bubb would say.

I find no love of liberty in your periodical. For people like Ken Brown, I only say go ahead and throw your bomb and quit talking but don't try to associate with me by calling yourself a libertarian. Most people who are so anti-property and so violent orientated, I usually find to be from a very wealthy background and under 120 lbs. in weight. I'll continue reading your magazine, sometimes it gets rather humorous!

-DANA ROHRABACHER, CALIFORNIA LIBERTARIAN ALLIANCE

YOU DIDN'T THINK WE WOULD LET THAT GO WITHOUT A RESPONSE!



Dear Dana,

I hate to sound like a school teacher, but a libertarian believes in voluntary association, be it in establishing an anti-private property commune or a laissez-faire capitalist society. Last month I attended the Strawberry Fields Festival in Kanada, where for three beautiful days I saw both forms coexist with no problems. There was the personal sharing of food, dope; money and the like with your neighbor (there was even a free food kitchen in which individuals contributed supplies for the common good). French fry and hamburger trucks represented CAPITALISM in grand style, they even raised their prices on the second day to see what the market would bear, and of course there were the drugs; marijuana was more expensive due to the hassle in getting it over the border( still a lot of people held down their prices anyway because of that ambiguous thing called brotherhood-something right wing libertarians generally lack) & the smaller pills, mescaline & acid, easy to conceal from the border guards came down slightly on the price scale as the supply outweighed the demand. I'm not going to say this was Utopia(I found privacy lacking) but certainly libertarians can learn a lot from these festivals such as the consideration of less fortunate human beings, be it caused by physical, mental handicaps, racial or economic background and dismiss the problem by pointing to Ricardo's Law and say the rich will help the poor by using them in economic transactions all through free competition, of course. This is ambiguous to say the least and borders on the jungle society in which only the strong survive. Certainly man is capable of rising above the animals!

On the other hand, communalists or as you say "commie fuck-heads" must allow people who desire and need it, competition with economic rewards. I believe this is the twin society we should be striving for, one in which everyone will be free to do their thing without fear of political or economic reprisals while recognizing the right of his



OFF "LA FRUTA"!

-5-

\* dear Dana: Least we can't a pal of Ronnie Raygun!

neighbor to do the same.

I must say that I found your writing simple and prone to the cop-out of employing cliches such as: "assholes", "fuck heads", and "anarcho-pigs". With regard to violence, I haven't sent anyone to Vietnam, nor do I have a gun. I personally favor civil disobedience, while both Halbrook and Brown see violence as the only way to defeat the monster and obtain liberation(they are not professional killers, like CIA agents.) If you havea better way to break the State's chains, why don't you tell us, instead of trying to score points in a verbal debate.

I think that you people (pardon the generalization) at Rampart College are quite comfortable with the status quo, and use Lefevre pacifism(a truly sick philosophy; you defame the great pacifist tradition of Gandi, the Quakers, and Dave Dellinger) as a copout for inaction. As this California Cancer spreads, the chances are good that libertarianism will be reduced to a mental exercise.

When I read your letter, I was going to lower myself to its level and throw mud at you, but one thing we don't need is the division that your letter encourages. There was no malice intended in my misquote of Kathy Greene and I have since apologized. You were once a libertarian leader; don't let the parade pass you by. Get out of Bob Lefevre's wallet!

**"NOT WITH MY LIFE YOU DON'T!"**

Peace with Liberation,  
John Brotschol, Radical Liber-  
... tarian Alliance

## BIG BANG THEORIES

BY SAMUEL KONKIN

The Earth trembled. An edifice of the State shattered. And an individual's sovereign life ceased.

The moment was filled with the elements of low drama; tragedy, irony, fatal error, cloak and dagger, terror in the dark of the night, Maquis sabotage, of the oppressive police state or a despicable act of evil by a band of crazed criminals, depending on your viewpoint.

The truck with the bomb was hoisted from a professor known to be sympathetic to student revolution; it was totally demolished by the blast. The call to the pigs of warning, at 3:40 AM, was only two minutes before the premature explosion, but, even if it had been longer, The City pigs admitted, they would not be able to warn the late-working Graduate Students in the physics building Sterling Hall, which is affixed to the primary target, the Army Math Research Center. Only the University police could warn them by jurisdiction, and they had to be contacted first. The alleged bombers, it turned out, were assisted by the same State jealousy as the Canadian Mounties who had them under surveillance were unable to hold them because the Federal warrants were for non-extraditable crimes, and Wisconsin State warrants were needed.

The Army Math REsearch Center (AMRC) was supposedly attacked as a tool of oppression of the Vietnamese people. The connection was extremely Tenuous, as the AMRC published its work openly, and it was almost pure research. One last irony: Robert Fassnacht, the fatal victim, was known as an opponent of American intervention in Viet Nam.

There was dancing in the streets in the "hippie" Mifflin Street area, followed by hot, guilty denials of it when the death was learned. The rest of the reactions were horrifyingly predictable. The State's rulers screamed over the slap in the face--destruction of the State's sacred "property" & incidentally, the murder of an innocent student. Conservatives rejoiced in the imminent gain in votes as the September primary approached; Liberals talked law and order defensively, or charged the Right with blowing up the Center to gain from the BACKLASH. Objectivist-oriented students wailed over the loss of research papers (which they expected, were to be safe under the State's protection) and over the loss of life. The underground paper, Kaleidoscope, printed a statement by the "New Year's Gang" giving their reasons for the attack--assuming such a gang existed. THE Editor went to jail

THE ABOLITIONIST  
c/o LABS GPO 2487  
NYC 10001

Have you laughed at an elected official today?